By continuing to use this site you consent to the use of cookies on your device as described in our cookie policy unless you have disabled them. You can change your cookie settings at any time but parts of our site will not function correctly without them.

Sign In

Subscribe

Columnists

Why Buffett is steering clear of catastrophe bonds

Gillian Tett





MAY 8, 2014 by: Gillian Tett

When Warren Buffett (http://www.ft.com/topics/people/Warren_Buffett) held his annual shareholders meeting last weekend in Nebraska, investors obsessively discussed everything that the Sage of Omaha said about the equity market and his succession plans.

But another intriguing issue, buried deep in corporate filings, went largely ignored: Berkshire Hathaway has quietly "constrained the volume of business" it

does in the reinsurance world because of "management's assessment of the adequacy of premium rates". In plain English, this means Mr Buffett is more reluctant to insure people against natural disasters – because it no longer pays.

Investors and central bankers alike should take note. A casual observer might assume Mr Buffett's move has arisen because natural disasters are becoming more unpredictable. After all, just this week the White House released a report blaming climate change (http://next.ft.com/content/ccabfo48-d4b2-11e3-8f77-00144feabdco) for more extreme heat, wildfires and torrential rains.

But in truth the reinsurance tale says more about the distortions in finance generated by super-loose monetary policy. The reason the business looks less appealing to savvy investors such as Mr Buffett is that a wall of new money has flooded in as investors hunt for returns. Quantitative easing has not simply prompted investors to embrace corporate and sovereign risk (say, Greek bank debt); it is prompting them to embrace environmental risk by insuring against losses from events such as hurricanes.

The \$20bn market for catastrophe bonds (http://next.ft.com/content/a4e5721e-cc97-11e3-ab99-00144feabdco), or "cat bonds", is a case in point. These instruments, which first cropped up two decades ago, essentially enable investors to bet on whether insurance companies will face claims from businesses affected by large-scale disasters, or whether the businesses themselves will suffer losses. They are typically issued for three years and, if no disaster occurs in that period, bond investors receive a good payout; otherwise, they have to pay out themselves.

When these risky securities appeared, only specialist hedge funds or other asset managers with expert knowledge of disaster risk dared buy them, meaning the market was small compared with the reinsurance world at large. In the past couple

of years it has swelled dramatically, however, with a record \$4.75bn cat bonds issued in the first four months of 2014 alone. And mainstream investors have jumped in: last year about four-fifths of the bonds were bought by pension funds and other large institutional managers.

In part, cat bonds appeal because they offer better returns than the current ultra-low yields on risky corporate bonds. But they have another attractive feature: they are seen as "uncorrelated" with other asset classes. This is highly prized since the 2008 financial crisis, when many markets fell in tandem, making it hard to hedge risks.

But as investors have rushed in, the inevitable has occurred: yields have plunged. The average yield in recent months on a basket of cat bonds has hovered just over 5 per cent, about half the level seen two years ago. In some ways this is good news: falling yields helped cut the cost of buying insurance for consumers by about 15 per cent last year. The fact that the insurance sector is gathering a diversified pool of capital could make it more resilient. So much so that Jay Gelb of Barclays Capital says "alternative capital", such as pension funds, could in the coming years represent "up to 30 per cent" of the \$300bn US property catastrophe reinsurance market, up from 15 per cent today.

But the key, as ever, is risk and reward. Many investors rushing to embrace cat bonds have never seen these instruments produce big losses; for while Superstorm Sandy caused damage two years ago, Florida and California have recently escaped severe shocks. This sense of relative calm means that investors seem unconcerned about the sharp fall in yields. Experienced insurers such as Mr Buffett, however, think this looks complacent; to them, the returns have fallen too low, in this era of low interest rates, to justify taking on catastrophe risk.

Of course, that might mean Mr Buffett and his like are being too conservative;

more likely, it suggests pension funds could face a shock if or when the next disaster hits. Either way, it is a reminder of how easy money can create unexpected consequences. And that is a sobering thought – particularly as the Atlantic regions prepare for the hurricane season to start in three weeks.

gillian.tett@ft.com (mailto:gillian.tett@ft.com)

Twitter@gilliantett (https://twitter.com/search?q=gillian%20tett&src=typd)

Letters in response to this column:

Excellent warning for the newcomers in reinsurance / From Mr Michael J Wade (http://next.ft.com/content/318090a6-d9fd-11e3-920f-00144feabdco)

Maintain vigilance over cat bonds / From Dr Sebastian Jülich and Prof Debby
Guha-Sapir (http://next.ft.com/content/aac33062-dcf6-11e3b73c-00144feabdco)

Print a single copy of this article for personal use. Contact us if you wish to print more to distribute to others. © The Financial Times Ltd.

Read latest

Gillian Tett

Populism emerges as key economic influence

NEW 20 MINUTES AGO

Related stories

Capital Markets

Investors place bet on catastrophe bonds

Special Report Risk Management

Disasters shake up insurance industry

FTfm John Dizard

Alternative reinsurers restructure industry



Latest in Columnists

Gillian Tett

Populism emerges as key economic influence

Hedge fund study signals shift from monetary and fiscal outlook to political risk

NEW 20 MINUTES AGO

Free Lunch Martin Sandbu

Which presidential candidate will help France's youth?

Premium

More flexibility and more stimulus are both necessary for economic recovery 2 HOURS AGO



Philip Stephens

A Franco-German bargain to save Europe

After a deluge of crises the next few years will confound the doubters



Special Report Boldness in Business

DeepMind's social agenda plays to its AI strengths

Ideas rather than products are the focus at this London-based AI company

Special Report FT Wealth

Are foreign buyers responsible for skyrocketing property prices?

Governments must strike the right balance between inviting global capital and appeasing local sentiment

Latest from Gillian Tett

Gillian Tett

The secret genomic revolution

'As so often in science, the speed of innovation is dramatically outstripping the level of public awareness'

Gillian Tett

A blind spot masks danger signs in finance

Low interest rates have created perverse market incentives

Cyber Security 3:38min

America under cyber attack

Gillian Tett discusses cyber security with CrowdStrike co-founder

Follow the topics mentioned	ed in this article		
Opinion			
Follow the authors of this	article		
Gillian Tett			

Take a tour of myF7